

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	West
<b>Given Name</b>	Gary
<b>Person ID</b>	1286713
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSWalshaw.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSGeneral.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSElton.pdf</a>
<b>Family Name</b>	West
<b>Given Name</b>	Gary
<b>Person ID</b>	1286713
<b>Title</b>	Our Vision
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSGeneral.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	See supporting information
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Plan should be rewritten to ensure protection of greenbelt and proper site selection
<b>Family Name</b>	West
<b>Given Name</b>	Gary
<b>Person ID</b>	1286713

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Title</b>	Our Strategic Objectives
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSWalshaw.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSGeneral.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSElton.pdf</a>
<b>Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:</b>	1. Meet our housing need
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	See supporting information
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Plan should be rewritten to ensure protection of greenbelt and proper site selection
<b>Family Name</b>	West
<b>Given Name</b>	Gary
<b>Person ID</b>	1286713
<b>Title</b>	Our Spatial Strategy
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSGeneral.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSElton.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSWalshaw.pdf</a>

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	See supporting information
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Plan should be rewritten to ensure protection of greenbelt and proper site selection
<b>Family Name</b>	West
<b>Given Name</b>	Gary
<b>Person ID</b>	1286713
<b>Title</b>	JP-Strat 13 Strategic Green Infrastructure
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSGeneral.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the</b>	See supporting information

<b>consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Plan should be rewritten to ensure protection of greenbelt and proper site selection
<b>Family Name</b>	West
<b>Given Name</b>	Gary
<b>Person ID</b>	1286713
<b>Title</b>	JP-Strat 14 A Sustainable and Integrated Transport Network
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSGeneral.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSElton.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSWalshaw.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	See supporting information
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance</b>	Plan should be rewritten to ensure protection of greenbelt and proper site selection

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	
<b>Family Name</b>	West
<b>Given Name</b>	Gary
<b>Person ID</b>	1286713
<b>Title</b>	JP-S 4 Resilience
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSWalshaw.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSGeneral.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSElton.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	See supporting information
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Plan should be rewritten to ensure protection of greenbelt and proper site selection
<b>Family Name</b>	West
<b>Given Name</b>	Gary
<b>Person ID</b>	1286713
<b>Title</b>	JP-S 5 Flood Risk and Water Environment
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSGeneral.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSElton.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSWalshaw.pdf</a>

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	See supporting information
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Plan should be rewritten to ensure protection of greenbelt and proper site selection
<b>Family Name</b>	West
<b>Given Name</b>	Gary
<b>Person ID</b>	1286713
<b>Title</b>	JP-H 1 Scale Distribution and Phasing of New Housing Development
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSWalshaw.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSGeneral.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSElton.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	See supporting information
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Plan should be rewritten to ensure protection of greenbelt and proper site selection
<b>Family Name</b>	West
<b>Given Name</b>	Gary
<b>Person ID</b>	1286713
<b>Title</b>	JP-H 2 Affordability of New Housing
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSGeneral.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	See supporting information
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect</b>	Plan should be rewritten to ensure protection of greenbelt and proper site selection

<b>of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	
<b>Family Name</b>	West
<b>Given Name</b>	Gary
<b>Person ID</b>	1286713
<b>Title</b>	JP-H 4 Density of New Housing
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSGeneral.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	See supporting information
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Plan should be rewritten to ensure protection of greenbelt and proper site selection
<b>Family Name</b>	West
<b>Given Name</b>	Gary
<b>Person ID</b>	1286713
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 1 Valuing Important Landscapes
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSGeneral.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	See supporting information
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Plan should be rewritten to ensure protection of greenbelt and proper site selection
<b>Family Name</b>	West
<b>Given Name</b>	Gary
<b>Person ID</b>	1286713
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 2 Green Infrastructure Network
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSWalshaw.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSGeneral.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSElton.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the</b>	See supporting information

<b>consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Plan should be rewritten to ensure protection of greenbelt and proper site selection
<b>Family Name</b>	West
<b>Given Name</b>	Gary
<b>Person ID</b>	1286713
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 3 River Valleys and Waterways
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSGeneral.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSElton.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSWalshaw.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	See supporting information
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance</b>	Plan should be rewritten to ensure protection of greenbelt and proper site selection

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	
<b>Family Name</b>	West
<b>Given Name</b>	Gary
<b>Person ID</b>	1286713
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 4 Lowland Wetlands and Mosslands
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSWalshaw.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSGeneral.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSElton.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	See supporting information
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Plan should be rewritten to ensure protection of greenbelt and proper site selection
<b>Family Name</b>	West
<b>Given Name</b>	Gary
<b>Person ID</b>	1286713
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 5 Uplands
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSGeneral.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSElton.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSWalshaw.pdf</a>

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	See supporting information
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Plan should be rewritten to ensure protection of greenbelt and proper site selection
<b>Family Name</b>	West
<b>Given Name</b>	Gary
<b>Person ID</b>	1286713
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 7 Trees and Woodland
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSWalshaw.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSGeneral.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSElton.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	See supporting information
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Plan should be rewritten to ensure protection of greenbelt and proper site selection
<b>Family Name</b>	West
<b>Given Name</b>	Gary
<b>Person ID</b>	1286713
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 8 Standards for Greener Places
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSGeneral.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSElton.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSWalshaw.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	See supporting information
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the</b>	Plan should be rewritten to ensure protection of greenbelt and proper site selection

<b>plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	
<b>Family Name</b>	West
<b>Given Name</b>	Gary
<b>Person ID</b>	1286713
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 9 A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSWalshaw.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSGeneral.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSElton.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	See supporting information
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Plan should be rewritten to ensure protection of greenbelt and proper site selection
<b>Family Name</b>	West
<b>Given Name</b>	Gary
<b>Person ID</b>	1286713
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 10 Green Belt
<b>Type</b>	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSGeneral.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSElton.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSWalshaw.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	See supporting information
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Plan should be rewritten to ensure protection of greenbelt and proper site selection
<b>Family Name</b>	West
<b>Given Name</b>	Gary
<b>Person ID</b>	1286713
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 11 Safeguarded Land
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSWalshaw.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSGeneral.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSElton.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	See supporting information
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Plan should be rewritten to ensure protection of greenbelt and proper site selection
<b>Family Name</b>	West
<b>Given Name</b>	Gary
<b>Person ID</b>	1286713
<b>Title</b>	JP-P1 Sustainable Places
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSGeneral.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSElton.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSWalshaw.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	See supporting information

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Plan should be rewritten to ensure protection of greenbelt and proper site selection
<b>Family Name</b>	West
<b>Given Name</b>	Gary
<b>Person ID</b>	1286713
<b>Title</b>	JP-P2 Heritage
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSWalshaw.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSGeneral.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSElton.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	See supporting information
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Plan should be rewritten to ensure protection of greenbelt and proper site selection
<b>Family Name</b>	West
<b>Given Name</b>	Gary

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Person ID</b>	1286713
<b>Title</b>	JPA 7: Elton Reservoir Area
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSElton.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	RECLASSIFIED See supporting information
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	RECLASSIFIED Plan should be rewritten to ensure protection of greenbelt and proper site selection
<b>Family Name</b>	West
<b>Given Name</b>	Gary
<b>Person ID</b>	1286713
<b>Title</b>	JPA 9: Walshaw
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSWalshaw.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	RECLASSIFIED See supporting information
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	RECLASSIFIED Plan should be rewritten to ensure protection of greenbelt and proper site selection
<b>Family Name</b>	West
<b>Given Name</b>	Gary
<b>Person ID</b>	1286713
<b>Title</b>	JP-D1 Infrastructure Implementation
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSWalshaw.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSGeneral.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSElton.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	See supporting information

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Plan should be rewritten to ensure protection of greenbelt and proper site selection
<b>Family Name</b>	West
<b>Given Name</b>	Gary
<b>Person ID</b>	1286713
<b>Title</b>	JP-D2 Developer Contributions
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSGeneral.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSElton.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSWalshaw.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	See supporting information
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Plan should be rewritten to ensure protection of greenbelt and proper site selection
<b>Family Name</b>	West
<b>Given Name</b>	Gary

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Person ID</b>	1286713
<b>Title</b>	Other Comments
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSGeneral.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Family Name</b>	West
<b>Given Name</b>	Gary
<b>Person ID</b>	1286713
<b>Title</b>	Supporting Evidence
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSGeneral.pdf</a>
<b>Redacted comment on supporting documents - Please give details of why you consider any of the evidence not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	RECLASSIFIED Site Selection Criteria Viability Assessment - Walshaw Bury Transport Locality Assessment
<b>Family Name</b>	West
<b>Given Name</b>	Gary
<b>Person ID</b>	1286713
<b>Title</b>	Other Comments
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSGeneral.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSElton.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSWalshaw.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Family Name</b>	West
<b>Given Name</b>	Gary
<b>Person ID</b>	1286713
<b>Title</b>	Other Comments
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSWalshaw.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSGeneral.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSElton.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	RECLASSIFIED Duty to Cooperate There are no details provided about Duty to Cooperate. Not even for Stockport who have become a neighboring borough after withdrawing from the GMSF.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	RECLASSIFIED Duty to Cooperate It should be made clear exactly what happens at local level if PfE gains approval. If Councils have to produce their own Master Plans what will be the timescales, what accountability will there be?. How will compliance to PfE be monitored? Will development be allowed to proceed before these plans are approved and how will the public be consulted?
<b>Family Name</b>	West
<b>Given Name</b>	Gary
<b>Person ID</b>	1286713
<b>Title</b>	JPA 8: Seedfield
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSWalshaw.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSGeneral.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSElton.pdf</a>

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	RECLASSIFIED See supporting information
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	RECLASSIFIED Plan should be rewritten to ensure protection of greenbelt and proper site selection
<b>Family Name</b>	West
<b>Given Name</b>	Gary
<b>Person ID</b>	1286713
<b>Title</b>	JPA 1.1 Heywood / Pilsworth (Northern Gateway)
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSGeneral.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the</b>	RECLASSIFIED See supporting information

<b>consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	RECLASSIFIED Plan should be rewritten to ensure protection of greenbelt and proper site selection
<b>Family Name</b>	West
<b>Given Name</b>	Gary
<b>Person ID</b>	1286713
<b>Title</b>	JPA 1.2: Simister and Bowlee (Northern Gateway)
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSGeneral.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	RECLASSIFIED See supporting information
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters</b>	RECLASSIFIED Plan should be rewritten to ensure protection of greenbelt and proper site selection

<b>you have identified above.</b>	
<b>Family Name</b>	West
<b>Given Name</b>	Gary
<b>Person ID</b>	1286713
<b>Title</b>	Other Comments
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSGeneral.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSElton.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSWalshaw.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>RECLASSIFIED</p> <p>Plan Wide</p> <p>1) That the question of legality has even been raised at all indicates that there is doubt over legality. Seeking the public's opinion on the legality of switching from the GMSF to PfE and just pushing on counts for nothing. PfE does not appear to be just the GMSF minus Stockport many changes have been made for example new housing calculation methodology has resulted in changes..Legal matters must be settled in court. Until this happens and the legality of PfE properly established, PfE must be considered illegal.</p> <p>2) I believe that Places for Everyone is unsound for the following reasons</p> <p>a). Para 1.63 point 2 of PfE states that "the most up to date information be used in plan making", The plan is old, it uses 2014 data to predict housing need so the effects of Brexit are not taken into account. The plan also dismisses any potential affects due to Covid. So the plan fails for not being Positively Prepared as the housing and other needs are not objectively assessed.</p> <p>b) The plan is not detailed. It contains very little detail on how infrastructure will actually be paid for ( see Para 12.16) when it will be delivered, how delivery will be controlled and what partners will be involved. So PfE fails on the grounds of effectiveness and deliverability.</p> <p>c) Public consultation has been poor and apparently designed to achieve very low response rates. This consultation is yet another example. A plan can only be justified if it can demonstrate that is has properly consulted the public and other stakeholders. So GMSF/PfE is not Justified on these grounds</p> <p>d) The site selection process has been opaque. There has been no information provided as to why some sites were chosen over others, or what alternatives were considered before final site selection. So GMSF/PfE is not Justified on these grounds</p> <p>e ) Many Greater Manchester Councils have consistently failed to meet existing housing delivery targets. To be effective a plan must actually be</p>

deliverable. The plan relies on the cooperation of property developers. Given past delivery failures, there is no indication of how delivery targets will be maintained this time. So GMSF/PfE fails on the grounds of Effectiveness and Delivery

f) The plan involves modifications to green belt destroying it in some places and creating it in others with no proof of exceptional circumstances as required in the National Planning Policy Framework. So GMSF/PfE is not sound on compliance with National Policy.

g) PfE is a very generalised plan even though it has been some 5-6 years in the making. If accepted it appears that each local authority needs to come up with its own more detailed Masterplan. There are no outline details given on when these plans would be published, what approval process they would through or how the public will be consulted. It is to be assumed that local developments cannot commence until local masterplans have been approved but this matter is not clarified. So GMSF/PfE fails on the grounds of Effectiveness and Deliverability.

h) There are no details provided about Duty to Cooperate. Not even for Stockport who have become a neighboring borough after withdrawing from the GMSF.

**Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.**

RECLASSIFIED

Plan Wide

1) Legality must be decided in court before "Places for Everyone" can proceed any further.

2) Housing need must be re-assessed using latest methodology and the latest (2018) ONS population predictions and the effect of Covid on work patterns and transport needs to be properly taken into account not just dismissed.

3) The plan needs to be revised to identify how all the infrastructure will be paid for and which major partners are on board to provide infrastructure and employment and an outline delivery schedule given.

4) The public consultations need to be repeated, made understandable and with more background information to enable choices to be made. They should be designed to encourage people to make a contribution not designed to put people off.

5) The site selection process should be repeated starting from a new call for sites and sites decided using National and GMCA guidelines and carried out with meetings open to the public. The rationale for the selection of every site and what alternatives were considered must be fully explained. There are already local conspiracy theories involving possible selling out and corruption.

6) A strategy to guarantee housing delivery rates must be provided before developments commence. This cannot be left to any local authority that is currently behind on housing targets.

7) All local authority contributions within PfE should be examined to ensure they comply with National Policy. Where any part of a local authority contribution to PfE does not comply the local authority must be made to prove that "exceptional circumstances" apply. This especially applies to greenbelt allocation issues.

8) It should be made clear exactly what happens at local level if PfE gains approval. If Councils have to produce their own Master Plans what will be the timescales, what accountability will there be?. How will compliance to PfE be monitored? Will development be allowed to proceed before these plans are approved and how will the public be consulted?

9) Rather than trying to patch things up for expediency at this late stage, serious consideration should be given to scrapping PfE altogether and just

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

	let Councils come up with their own local development plans by December 2023 in line with Government policy. It seems that they will have to do something like this after PfE is approved in any case, even though most have proved incompetent in this respect already.
<b>Family Name</b>	West
<b>Given Name</b>	Gary
<b>Person ID</b>	1286713
<b>Title</b>	Other Comments
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSGeneral.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSElton.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSWalshaw.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	RECLASSIFIED Site Selection The site selection process has been opaque. There has been no information provided as to why some sites were chosen over others, or what alternatives were considered before final site selection. So GMSF/PfE is not Justified on these grounds
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	RECLASSIFIED Site Selection The site selection process should be repeated starting from a new call for sites and sites decided using National and GMCA guidelines and carried out with meetings open to the public d. The rationale for the selection of every site and what alternatives were considered must be fully explained. There are already local conspiracy theories involving possible selling out and corruption
<b>Family Name</b>	West
<b>Given Name</b>	Gary
<b>Person ID</b>	1286713
<b>Title</b>	Other Comments
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSGeneral.pdf</a>

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Family Name</b>	West
<b>Given Name</b>	Gary
<b>Person ID</b>	1286713
<b>Title</b>	Other Comments
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSWalshaw.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSGeneral.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSElton.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Family Name</b>	West
<b>Given Name</b>	Gary
<b>Person ID</b>	1286713
<b>Title</b>	Other Comments
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286713_SOSGeneral.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No

<p><b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b></p>	<p>No</p>
<p><b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b></p>	<p>RECLASSIFIED</p> <p>Public Consultation</p> <p>Public consultation has been poor and apparently designed to achieve very low response rates. This consultation is yet another example. A plan can only be justified if it can demonstrate that it has properly consulted the public and other stakeholders. So GMSF/PfE is not Justified on these grounds</p> <p>PfE is a very generalised plan even though it has been some 5-6 years in the making. If accepted it appears that each local authority needs to come up with its own more detailed Masterplan. There are no outline details given on when these plans would be published, what approval process they would through or how the public will be consulted. It is to be assumed that local developments cannot commence until local masterplans have been approved but this matter is not clarified. So GMSF/PfE fails on the grounds of Effectiveness and Deliverability</p>
<p><b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b></p>	<p>RECLASSIFIED</p> <p>Public Consultation</p> <p>The public consultations need to be repeated, made understandable and with more background information to enable choices to be made. They should be designed to encourage people to make a contribution not designed to put people off.</p> <p>PfE is a very generalised plan even though it has been some 5-6 years in the making. If accepted it appears that each local authority needs to come up with its own more detailed Masterplan. There are no outline details given on when these plans would be published, what approval process they would through or how the public will be consulted. It is to be assumed that local developments cannot commence until local masterplans have been approved but this matter is not clarified. So GMSF/PfE fails on the grounds of Effectiveness and Deliverability</p>